

A NOTE ON BRAUER'S THEOREM

Aaron Melman

Abstract. We present an elementary proof of Brauer's theorem, which shows how knowledge of an eigenpair can be used to change a single eigenvalue of a matrix.

MathEduc Subject Classification: H65

MSC Subject Classification: 97H60, 15A18

Key words and phrases: Eigenvalue; eigenvector; rank-one modification; Brauer.

An interesting theorem by Alfred Brauer from 1952 allows one to use an eigenpair of a matrix to create a new matrix whose spectrum differs in only one eigenvalue, which can be chosen freely. It is often used in deflation techniques when computing eigenvalues [3, 4.2]. We propose a self-contained proof using only basic concepts.

Before we do, we need a little background and notation. Throughout, matrices will be square and complex, and we denote by A^* the Hermitian conjugate of the matrix A , i.e., $A^* = \bar{A}^T$. If $Av = \lambda v$, then v is an eigenvector with eigenvalue λ , also referred to as a *right eigenvector*, and if $w^*A = \mu w^*$, then w is a *left eigenvector* with eigenvalue μ . The left and right eigenvalues of a matrix are the same, but this is not necessarily true for the corresponding eigenvectors. Since $\lambda w^*v = w^*(\lambda v) = w^*Av = \mu w^*v$, $\lambda \neq \mu$ implies that $w^*v = 0$, i.e., left and right eigenvectors belonging to different eigenvalues are orthogonal. This property is called biorthogonality [2, 7.9].

Informally, Brauer's theorem states that, when (λ, v) is an eigenpair of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $u \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is arbitrary, then the matrix $A - vu^*$ has the same eigenvalues as A , except for λ , which is replaced by $\lambda - u^*v$. When λ is a simple eigenvalue, the proof is short and straightforward. A more subtle approach is required when it is not.

The original proof in [1] relies, as does our proof, on the biorthogonality property, but proves a slightly weaker result. This is clarified in a remark after our proof below. The proof in [2, p. 51] explicitly uses the characteristic polynomial of $A - vu^*$, and shows that it can be factored, which involves the adjoint of the matrix and properties of determinants, while the proof in [2, p. 122] uses Schur triangularization in which a unitary matrix is used to triangularize $A - vu^*$, allowing the eigenvalue $\lambda - u^*v$ to be split off. These are the proofs that are typically cited in the literature.

Here, we provide a different but elementary proof based on the biorthogonality property and the trace of a matrix. Our intention is not to find the shortest proof (ours is somewhat longer than the aforementioned proofs), but rather to present a nontraditional one. A view from a different angle is always a useful tool in the classroom.

THEOREM [1]. *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$, let v_k be an eigenvector associated with λ_k , and let $u \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be arbitrary. Then the matrix $B = A - v_k u^*$ has eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-1}, \lambda_k - u^* v_k, \lambda_{k+1}, \dots, \lambda_n$.*

Proof. We start by introducing the basic spectral properties of the matrices A and B , along with some notation. For convenience, we set $\lambda = \lambda_k$, $v = v_k$, and relabel the eigenvalues of A as $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_s, \lambda, \dots, \lambda$, where the (algebraic) multiplicity of λ is $n - s$, $0 \leq s \leq n - 1$, and $\mu_j \neq \lambda$ for all j . If all the eigenvalues of A are equal to λ , we assign the value $s = 0$, and, throughout, adopt the convention that a quantity with a nonpositive subscript is not present. Define $B = A - v u^*$ and let w_j be a left eigenvector of A corresponding to one of the eigenvalues μ_j . Since $\mu_j \neq \lambda$ implies $w_j^* v = 0$, we obtain $w_j^* B = w_j^* A - w_j^* v u^* = w_j^* A = \mu_j w_j^*$, which means that μ_j is also an eigenvalue of B . Moreover, $Bv = Av - v u^* v = (\lambda - u^* v)v$, i.e., $\lambda - u^* v$ is an eigenvalue of B .

In view of the above, we can label the eigenvalues of B as $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{s'}, \lambda - u^* v, \dots, \lambda - u^* v$, where the multiplicity of $\lambda - u^* v$ is $n - s'$, $0 \leq s' \leq n - 1$, and $\nu_j \neq \lambda - u^* v$ for all j . Analogously, the eigenvalues ν_j of B are also eigenvalues of A .

We now define ℓ , with $0 \leq \ell \leq s$, as the largest integer such that the eigenvalues μ_1, \dots, μ_ℓ of A are equal to the eigenvalues ν_1, \dots, ν_ℓ of B , after reordering, with the remaining $\mu_{\ell+1}, \dots, \mu_s$ necessarily all being equal to $\lambda - u^* v$, since they must be eigenvalues of B , different from any ν_j . Likewise, the eigenvalues $\nu_{\ell+1}, \dots, \nu_{s'}$ are necessarily all equal to λ since they must be eigenvalues of A , different from any μ_j . By our convention, the value $\ell = 0$ is assigned when none of them are equal. These eigenvalues $\mu_j = \nu_j \neq \lambda, \lambda - u^* v$ have the same multiplicities since if, for some index i , μ_i had a multiplicity larger than that of ν_i , then an infinitesimal perturbation of the ‘‘extra’’ eigenvalues μ_i would send them to $\lambda - u^* v$, which is impossible since they would also be infinitesimally close to $\mu_i = \nu_i \neq \lambda - u^* v$. A similar argument holds if the multiplicity were less with the roles of μ_i and ν_i reversed. A similar argument holds if the multiplicity were less with the roles of μ_k and ν_k reversed. This means that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{spectrum of } A &= \left\{ \mu_1, \dots, \mu_\ell, \underbrace{\lambda - u^* v, \dots, \lambda - u^* v}_{s-\ell}, \underbrace{\lambda, \dots, \lambda}_{n-s} \right\}, \\ \text{spectrum of } B &= \left\{ \mu_1, \dots, \mu_\ell, \underbrace{\lambda, \dots, \lambda}_{s'-\ell}, \underbrace{\lambda - u^* v, \dots, \lambda - u^* v}_{n-s'} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Until here, the proof is similar to Brauer’s proof. Now, since $\text{trace}(B) =$

$\text{trace}(A) - u^*v$ and the trace of a matrix is the sum of its eigenvalues, we have that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \mu_j + (s' - \ell)\lambda + (n - s')(\lambda - u^*v) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \mu_j + (s - \ell)(\lambda - u^*v) + (n - s)\lambda - u^*v,$$

and therefore $((n - s') - (s - \ell))u^*v = u^*v$. If $u^*v = 0$, then the eigenvalues of A and B coincide and the proof follows. If $u^*v \neq 0$, then $n - s' = s - \ell + 1$, so that $s' - \ell = n - s - 1$, implying that

$$\text{spectrum of } B = \left\{ \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{\ell}, \underbrace{\lambda, \dots, \lambda}_{n-s-1}, \underbrace{\lambda - u^*v, \dots, \lambda - u^*v}_{s-\ell+1} \right\},$$

which are the eigenvalues of A with one of its eigenvalues λ replaced by $\lambda - u^*v$. ■

We note that the theorem we have just proved is somewhat stronger than the theorem in [1], as the latter only states that, when $\lambda_k \neq \lambda_k - u^*v_k$, its multiplicity for the matrix $A - v_k u^*$ is less than its multiplicity for the matrix A . Our theorem here, which is the same as in [2] and elsewhere in the literature, states that its multiplicity is decreased by exactly one.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Brauer, *Limits for the characteristic roots of a matrix. IV: Applications to stochastic matrices*, Duke Math. J., **19** (1952), 75–91.
- [2] R. A. Horn, C. R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Second ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- [3] Y. Saad, *Numerical methods for large eigenvalue problems*, Classics in Applied Mathematics, 66, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2011.

Department of Applied Mathematics, School of Engineering, Santa Clara University, Santa Clara, CA 95053, USA

E-mail: amelman@scu.edu